# Convex optimization

Javier Peña Carnegie Mellon University

Universidad de los Andes Bogotá, Colombia September 2014

# Convex optimization

### Problem of the form

$$\min_{x} \quad f(x) \\ x \in Q,$$

#### where

 $ullet Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  convex set:

$$x,y\in Q,\;\lambda\in[0,1]\Rightarrow\lambda x+(1-\lambda)y\in Q,$$

ullet  $f:Q o \mathbb{R}$  convex function:

$$\mathsf{epigraph}(f) = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : x \in Q, t \geq f(x)\} \ \ \mathsf{convex \ set}.$$

# Special cases

## Linear programming

$$\min_{y} \langle c, y \rangle$$

$$\langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

## Semidefinite programming

$$\min_{y} \langle c, y \rangle \\ \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{j} y_{j} - B \succeq 0.$$

## Second-order cone programming

$$\min_{y} \langle c, y \rangle$$

$$\langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \ge ||A_i y - d_i||_2, \ i = 1, \dots, r.$$

## Agenda

- Applications
- Algorithms
- Open problems

# **Applications**

## Classification

#### Classification data

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, \ell_1), \dots, (x_n, \ell_n)\}, \text{ with } x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \ell_i \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

### Linear classification

Find 
$$(\beta_0, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$
 such that for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\beta_0 + \langle \beta, x_i \rangle) = \ell_i \Leftrightarrow \ell_i(\beta_0 + \langle x_i, \beta \rangle) > 0.$$

### Support vector machines

Find linear classifier with largest margin

$$\min_{\beta_0,\beta} \|\beta\|_2$$

$$\ell_i(\langle x_i, \beta \rangle + \beta_0) \ge 1, \ i = 1, \dots, n$$

# Regression

### Regression data

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)\}, \text{ with } x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ y_i \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Linear regression

Find  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that minimizes training error:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_0 + \langle \beta, x_i \rangle - y_i)^2 \iff \min_{\beta} ||X\beta - y||_2^2$$

$$X := \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & x_1^\mathsf{T} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n^\mathsf{T} \end{bmatrix}, \ y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

# Sparse regression

## High dimensional regression

 $\mathcal{D}=\{(x_1,y_1),\ldots,(x_n,y_n)\},\,x_i\in\mathbb{R}^d,\,y_i\in\mathbb{R},\,\mathrm{large}\,\,d,\,\mathrm{e.g.},\,d>n.$  Want  $\beta\in\mathbb{R}^d$  sparse:

$$\min_{\beta} \ \left( \|X\beta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \cdot \|\beta\|_0 \right)$$

$$\|\beta\|_0 := |\{i : \beta_i \neq 0\}|.$$

## Lasso regression (Tibshirani, 1996)

The above problem is computationally intractable. Use instead

$$\min_{\beta} (\|X\beta - y\|_2^2 + \lambda \cdot \|\beta\|_1).$$

#### Extensions

Group lasso, fused lasso, and others.

# Compressive sensing

Raw 3MB jpeg versus a compressed 0.3MB version.





## Question

If an image is compressible, can it be acquired efficiently?

# Compressive sensing

Compressibility corresponds to sparsity in a suitable representation.

Restatement of the above question:

### Question

Can we recover a sparse vector  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  from  $m \ll n$  linear measurements

$$b_k = \langle a_k, \bar{x} \rangle, \ k = 1, \dots, m \Leftrightarrow b = A\bar{x}.$$

## Example (group testing)

Suppose only one component of  $\bar{x}$  is different from zero.

Then  $\log_2 n$  measurements or fewer suffice to find  $\bar{x}$ .

# Compressive sensing via linear programming

Possible approach to recover sparse  $\bar{x}$ 

Take  $m \ll n$  measurements  $b = A\bar{x}$  and solve

$$\min_{x} ||x||_{0}$$

$$Ax = b.$$

The above is computationally intractable. Use instead

$$\min_{x} ||x||_{1}$$

$$Ax = b.$$

Theorem (Candès & Tao, 2005)

If  $m \gtrsim s \cdot \log n$  and A is suitably chosen. Then the  $\ell_1$ -minimization problem recovers  $\bar{x}$  with high probability.

# Matrix completion

### **Problem**

Assume  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  has low rank and we observe *some* entries of M. Can we recover M?

## Possible approach to recover low rank ${\cal M}$

Assume we observe entries in  $\Omega \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Solve

$$\label{eq:min_X} \begin{aligned} \min_{X} \quad & \mathsf{rank}(X) \\ & X_{ij} = M_{ij}, \ (i,j) \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Rank-minimization is computationally intractable.

# Matrix completion via semidefinite programming

Fazel, 2001: Use instead

$$\min_{X} \quad ||X||_{*}$$
$$X_{ij} = M_{ij}, \ (i, j) \in \Omega.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|_*$  is the *nuclear norm:* 

$$||X||_* := \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(X).$$

## Theorem (Candès & Recht, 2010)

Assume  $\operatorname{rank}(M) = r$  and  $\Omega$  random,  $|\Omega| \geq C\mu r(1+\beta)\log^2 n$ . Then the nuclear norm minimization problem recovers M with with high probability.

# Algorithms

# Late 20th century: interior-point methods

To solve

$$\min_{x} \quad \langle c, x \rangle \\ x \in Q.$$

Trace path  $\{x(\mu): \mu > 0\}$ , where  $x(\mu)$  minimizes

$$F_{\mu}(x) := \langle c, x \rangle + \mu \cdot f(x).$$

Here  $f:Q\to\mathbb{R}$  a suitable barrier function for Q.

### Some barrier functions

$\overline{Q}$	f
$\{y: \langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \ge 0, i = 1, \dots, n\}$	$-\sum_{i=1}^{n}\log(\langle a_i,y\rangle-b_i)$
$\{y: \sum_{i=1}^m A_j y_j - B \succeq 0\}$	$-\log\det\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{j}y_{j}-B\right)$

# Interior-point methods

Recall  $F_{\mu}(x) = \langle c, x \rangle + \mu \cdot f(x)$  and  $f: Q \to \mathbb{R}$  barrier function.

## Template of interior-point method

- pick  $\mu_0 > 0$  and  $x_0 \approx x(\mu_0)$
- for  $t=0,1,2,\ldots$  pick  $\mu_{t+1}<\mu_t$   $x_{t+1}:=x_t-[F''_{\mu_{t+1}}(x_t)]^{-1}F'_{\mu_{t+1}}(x_t)$  end for

The above can be done so that  $x_t \to x^*$ , where  $x^*$  solves

$$\min_{x} \quad \langle c, x \rangle \\ x \in Q.$$

# Interior-point methods

#### **Features**

- Superb theoretical properties.
- Numerical performance far better than what theory states.
- Excellent accuracy.
- Commercial and open-source implementations.

### Limitations

- Barrier function for entire constraint set.
- Solve a system of equations (Newton's step) at each iteration.
- Numerically challenged for very large or dense problems.
- Often inadequate for above applications.

# Early 21th century: algorithms with simpler iterations

Tradeoff the above features vs limitations.

In many applications modest accuracy is fine.

### Interior-point methods

Need barrier function for *entire* constraint set, *second-order* information (gradient & Hessian), and solve systems of equations.

## Simpler algorithms

Use less information about the problem. Avoid costly operations.

# Convex feasibility problem

Assume  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a convex set and consider the problem

Find 
$$y \in Q$$
.

- Any convex optimization problem can be recast this way.
- Difficulty depends on how Q is described.
- Assume a *separation oracle* for  $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is available.

## Separation oracle for Q

Given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , verify  $y \in Q$  or generate  $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that

$$\langle a, y \rangle < \langle a, v \rangle, \ \forall v \in Q.$$

### Examples

• Linear inequalities:  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, b_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$Q = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

Oracle: Given y, check each  $\langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \geq 0$ .

• Linear matrix inequalities:  $B, A_j \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, j = 1, \dots, m$  symmetric,

$$Q = \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_j - B \succeq 0 \right\}.$$

Oracle: Given y, check  $\sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_j - B \succeq 0$ . If this fails, get  $u \neq 0$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle u, A_j u \rangle y_j < \langle u, B u \rangle \le \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle u, A_j u \rangle v_j, \ \forall v \in Q.$$

# Relaxation method (Agmon, Motzkin-Schoenberg)

Assume  $||a_i||_2 = 1, i = 1, ..., n$  and consider

$$Q = \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle a_i, y \rangle \ge b_i, \ i = 1, \dots, n \}.$$

### Relaxation method

- $y_0 := 0; t := 0$
- while there exists i such that  $\langle a_i, y_t \rangle < b_i$  $y_{t+1} := y_t - \lambda(b_i - \langle a_i, y_t \rangle)a_i$

$$y_{t+1} := y_t - \lambda(o_i - \langle a_i, y_t \rangle)$$
  
$$t := t + 1$$

end

## Theorem (Agmon, 1954)

If  $Q \neq \emptyset$  and  $\lambda \in (0,2)$  then  $y_t \rightarrow \bar{y} \in Q$ .

## Theorem (Motzkin-Schoenberg, 1954)

If  $int(Q) \neq \emptyset$  and  $\lambda = 2$  then  $y_t \in Q$  for t large enough.

# Perceptron algorithm (Rosenblatt, 1958)

#### Consider

$$C=\{y\in\mathbb{R}^m:A^{\sf T}y>0\},$$
 where  $A=\begin{bmatrix}a_1&\dots&a_n\end{bmatrix}\in\mathbb{R}^{m\times n},\ \|a_i\|_2=1,\ i=1,\dots,n.$ 

## Perceptron algorithm

- $y_0 := 0$ ; t := 0
- while there exists i such that  $\langle a_i, y_t \rangle \leq 0$

$$y_{t+1} := y_t + a_i$$
$$t := t + 1$$

end

## Cone width

Assume  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a convex cone. The *width* of C is

$$\tau_C := \sup_{\|y\|_2 = 1} \{ r : \mathbb{B}_2(y, r) \subseteq C \}.$$

Observe:  $\tau_C > 0$  if and only if  $int(C) \neq \emptyset$ .

# Theorem (Block, Novikoff 1962)

Assume  $C = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y > 0\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then the perceptron algorithm finds  $y \in C$  is at most  $\frac{1}{\tau_C^2}$  iterations.

# General perceptron algorithm

The perceptron algorithm and the above convergence rate hold for a general convex cone  ${\cal C}$  provided a separation oracle is available.

#### **Notation**

$$\mathbb{S}^{m-1} := \{ v \in \mathbb{R}^m : ||v||_2 = 1 \}.$$

## Perceptron algorithm (general case)

- $y_0 := 0; t := 0$
- while  $y \not\in C$  let  $a \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$  be such that  $\langle a,y \rangle \leq 0 < \langle a,v \rangle, \forall v \in C$   $y_{t+1} := y_t + a$  t := t+1

end

# Rescaled perceptron algorithm (Soheili-P 2013)

### Key idea

If  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$  is a convex cone and  $a \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$  is such that

$$C \subseteq \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \le \langle a, y \rangle \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{6m}} ||y||_2 \right\},$$

then dilate space along a to get wider  $\hat{C} := (I + aa^{\mathsf{T}})C$ .

#### Lemma

If  $C, a, \hat{C}$  are as above then  $\operatorname{vol}(\hat{C} \cap \mathbb{S}^{m-1}) \geq 1.5 \operatorname{vol}(C \cap \mathbb{S}^{m-1}).$ 

### Lemma

If C is a convex cone then  $\operatorname{vol}(C\cap\mathbb{S}^{m-1})\geq \frac{\tau_C}{\sqrt{1+\tau_C^2}}\operatorname{vol}(\mathbb{S}^{m-1}).$ 

# Rescaled perceptron algorithm (Soheili-P 2013)

Assume a separation oracle for C is available.

## Rescaled perceptron

- (1) Run perceptron for C up to  $6m^4$  steps
- (2) Identify  $a \in \mathbb{S}^{m-1}$  such that

$$C \subseteq \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : 0 \le \langle a, y \rangle \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{6m}} ||y||_2 \right\}.$$

(3) Rescale:  $C := (I + aa^{\mathsf{T}})C$ ; and go back to (1).

## Theorem (Soheili-P 2013)

Assume  $\operatorname{int}(C) \neq \emptyset$ . The above rescaled perceptron algorithm finds  $y \in C$  is at most  $\mathcal{O}\left(m^5\log\left(\frac{1}{\tau_C}\right)\right)$  perceptron steps.

Recall: Perceptron stops after  $\frac{1}{\tau_C^2}$  steps.

# Perceptron algorithm again

Consider again  $A^{\mathsf{T}}y > 0$  where  $||a_i||_2 = 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ .

# Perceptron algorithm (slight variant)

- $y_0 := 0;$ • for t = 0, 1, ...
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ \ \text{for} \ t=0,1,\dots\\ a_i:=\operatorname{argmin}\{\langle a_j,y_t\rangle:j=1,\dots,n\}\\ y_{t+1}:=y_t+a_i \end{array}$

end

Let 
$$x(y) := \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \Delta_n} \langle A^\mathsf{T} y, x \rangle$$
, where 
$$\Delta_n := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x > 0, \ \|x\|_1 = 1 \}.$$

## Normalized perceptron algorithm

- $y_0 := 0;$
- for  $t=0,1,\ldots$   $y_{t+1}:=(1-\tfrac{1}{t+1})y_t+\tfrac{1}{t+1}Ax(y_t)$  end

# Smooth perceptron (Soheili-P 2011)

### Key idea

Use a smooth version of

$$x(y) = \underset{x \in \Delta_n}{\operatorname{argmin}} \langle A^{\mathsf{T}} y, x \rangle,$$

namely,

$$x_{\mu}(y) := \frac{\exp(-A^{\mathsf{T}}y/\mu)}{\|\exp(-A^{\mathsf{T}}y/\mu)\|_{1}}$$

for some  $\mu > 0$ .

# Smooth Perceptron Algorithm

Let 
$$\theta_t := \frac{2}{t+2}$$
;  $\mu_t := \frac{4}{(t+1)(t+2)}$ ,  $t = 0, 1, \dots$ 

## Smooth Perceptron Algorithm

- $y_0 := \frac{1}{n}A\mathbf{1}; \ x_0 := x_{\mu_0}(y_0);$
- for  $t = 0, 1, \dots$  $y_{t+1} := (1 - \theta_t)(y_t + \theta_t A x_t) + \theta_t^2 A x_{\mu_t}(y_t)$   $x_{t+1} := (1 - \theta_t)x_t + \theta_t x_{\mu_{t+1}}(y_{t+1})$

end for

Recall main loop in the normalized version:

for 
$$t = 0, 1, \dots$$
  
 $y_{t+1} := (1 - \frac{1}{t+1})y_t + \frac{1}{t+1}Ax(y_t)$ 

end for

## Theorem (Soheili & P, 2011)

Assume  $C = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : A^T y > 0\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then the above smooth perceptron algorithm finds  $y \in C$  in at most

$$\frac{2\sqrt{2\log(n)}}{\tau_C}$$

elementary iterations.

#### Remarks

- Smooth version retains the algorithm's original simplicity.
- Improvement on perceptron iteration bound  $\frac{1}{ au_C^2}$ .
- Very weak dependence on n.

# Binary classification again

#### Classification data

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(u_1, \ell_1), \dots, (u_n, \ell_n)\}, \text{ with } u_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \ell_i \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

### Linear classification

Find  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$\operatorname{sgn}(\beta^{\mathsf{T}} u_i) = \ell_i \Leftrightarrow \ell_i u_i^{\mathsf{T}} \beta > 0.$$

Taking 
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1 u_1 & \cdots & \ell_n u_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $y = \beta$  can rephrase as 
$$A^\mathsf{T} y > 0.$$

# Kernels and Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

• Assume  $K: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  symmetric positive definite kernel:

$$\forall x_1, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}^d, [K(x_i, x_j)]_{ij} \succeq 0.$$

Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

$$\mathcal{F}_K := \left\{ f(\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \beta_i K(\cdot, z_i), \ \beta_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ z_i \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ \|f\|_K < \infty \right\}.$$

Feature mapping

$$\phi: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{F}_K$$
$$u \mapsto K(\cdot, u)$$

• For  $f \in \mathcal{F}_K$  and  $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have  $f(u) = \langle f, \phi(u) \rangle_K$ 

## Kernelized classification

### Nonlinear kernelized classification

Find  $f \in \mathcal{F}_K$  such that for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$\operatorname{sgn}(f(u_i)) = \ell_i \Leftrightarrow \ell_i f(u_i) > 0$$

### Separation margin

Assume  $\mathcal{D}=\{(u_1,\ell_1),\ldots,(u_n,\ell_n)\}$  and K are given. Define the margin  $\rho_K$  as

$$\rho_K := \sup_{\|f\|_K = 1} \min_{i=1,\dots,n} \ell_i f(u_i).$$

# Kernelized smooth perceptron

# Theorem (Ramdas & P 2014)

Assume  $\rho_K > 0$ .

- (a) Kernelized version of the smooth perceptron finds a nonlinear separator after at most  $\frac{2\sqrt{2\log n}\|\mathcal{D}\|}{\rho_K}$  iterations.
- (b) Kernelized smooth perceptron generates  $f_t \in \mathcal{F}_K$  such that

$$||f_t - f^*||_K \le \frac{2\sqrt{2\log n}||\mathcal{D}||}{t},$$

where  $f^* \in \mathcal{F}$  separator with best margin.

# Open problems

# Smale's 9th problem

Is there a polynomial-time algorithm over the real numbers which decides the feasibility of the linear system of inequalities  $Ax \ge b$ ?

#### Related work

- Tardos, 1986: A polynomial algorithm for combinatorial linear programs.
- Renegar, Freund, Cucker, P (2000s): Algorithms that are polynomial in problem dimension and condition number C(A,b).
- Ye, 2005: A polynomial interior-point algorithm for the Markov Decision Problem with fixed discount rate.
- Ye, 2011: The simplex method is polynomial for the Markov Decision Problem with fixed discount rate.

# Hirsch conjecture

A polyhedron is a set of form

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \langle a_i, y \rangle - b_i \ge 0, \ i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

A face of a polyhedron is a non-empty intersection with a non-cutting hyperplane.

Vertices: zero-dimensional faces.

Edges: one-dimensional faces.

Facets: highest-dimensional faces.

#### Observation

The vertices and edges of a polyhedron form a graph.

# Hirsch conjecture

## Conjecture (Hirsch, 1957)

For every polyhedron P with n facets and dimension d

$$\mathsf{diam}(P) \leq n - d.$$

#### Related work

- Klee and Walkup, 1967: Unbounded counterexample.
- True for special classes of bounded polyhedra.
- Santos, 2012: First bounded counterexample.
- Todd, 2014:  $diam(P) \le d^{\log_2(n-d)}$ .

### Question

Small bound (e.g., linear in n, d) on diam(P)?

# Lax conjecture

#### Definition

A homogeneous polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is *hyperbolic* if there exists  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the roots of

$$t \mapsto p(x + te)$$

are real.

## Theorem (Garding, 1959)

Assume p is hyperbolic. Then each connected component of  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) > 0\}$  is an open convex cone.

Hyperbolicity cone: Connected component of  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : p(x) > 0\}$  for some hyperbolic polynomial p.

## Lax conjecture

### Question

Can every hyperbolicity cone be described in terms of linear matrix inequalities?

$$\left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \sum_{j=1}^m A_j y_j \succeq 0 \right\}.$$

#### Related work

 $\bullet$  Helton and Vinnikov, 2007: Every hyperbolicity cone in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  is of the form

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^3 : Ix_1 + A_2x_2 + A_3x_3 \succeq 0\},\$$

for some symmetric matrices  $A_2, A_3$  (Lax conjecture, 1958).

• Branden, 2011: Disproved some versions of this conjecture for more general hyperbolicity cones in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

## Slides and references

jpena@cmu.edu

http://www.andrew.cmu.edu/user/jfp/